



**SUCCESSION MANAGEMENT AND EMPLOYEE RETENTION IN MOBILE TELECOMMUNICATION COMPANIES  
IN KENYA**

**Nyagudi, W. O.**

---

**SUCCESSION MANAGEMENT AND EMPLOYEE RETENTION IN MOBILE TELECOMMUNICATION COMPANIES  
IN KENYA**

**Nyagudi, W. O.**

Ph.D Student, School of Entrepreneurship, Procurement and Management, Jomo  
Kenyatta University of Agriculture and Technology [JKUAT], Kenya

**Accepted: October 9, 2020**

---

**ABSTRACT**

*Telecommunication companies in the world over are facing a talent crunch. In the current competitive era, this has put pressure on HR managers to attract, develop and ensure that the best talent stay. The study sought to investigate the influence of succession management Practices on Employee Retention in the Mobile Telecommunication companies in Kenya. A descriptive research design was used. The target population comprised 4192 employees in the Mobile telecommunication companies in Kenya from which a sample of 351 respondents was drawn from three strata; top management, supervisory, and general staff. Sampling was carried out by stratified and simple random techniques. Data was collected by use of a questionnaire. Percentages were used to initially analyze the data and the results presented in charts and tables. Inferential statistics were obtained by carrying out correlations analysis; bivariate, and multiple linear regression analyses, to test for the degree of association (correlations) between pairs of variables in relation to the influence of talent management practices on employee retention. The results revealed that there is a positive and significant relationship between Succession Management Practices and Employee Retention in Mobile Telecommunication companies in Kenya. It was clear therefore that, Succession management practices should always be considered as a key component and outcome of strategic human resource management for enhanced employee retention and performance. Organizations should consider succession management practices as core HR practices if they are to retain their employees. The study recommended a longitudinal research for an in-depth examination of the succession Management Practices and employee retention.*

**Keywords:** *Succession Management, Employee Retention.*

---

**CITATION:** Nyagudi, W. O. (2020), Succession management and employee retention in mobile telecommunication companies in Kenya. *The Strategic Journal of Business & Change Management*, 7(3), 166 – 185.

---

## INTRODUCTION

Succession planning and employee retention besides HR planning are related to staffing and both the terms have a direct impact on eliminating the staff turnover (Ruthwell, 2005). The major motive of succession planning is to make sure that there is the presence of preferred managers to fill the job vacancies made by promotions, retirement etc. makes sure that a corps of manager is present to fill the jobs that might be created in future (Armstrong, 2011). Employee retention has been one of the top workforce management challenge highlighted by 47% of the HR leaders in the survey done by Society for Human Resource Management (SHRM) / Globoforce employee recognition report (SIA, 2018). The employee retention challenge has been successively coming on the top of the list for the last three years. According to the survey, one of the major challenges that came across was employee turnover and succession planning. Given the survey, it can be demonstrated that succession planning needs to be looked upon by the companies under every industry and the issue needs to be solved as soon as possible.

The world today competition, talent has become a precious resource, sought and fought over by competitors in a global 'war for talent' (Cheese, Thomas & Craig, 2008). For most organizations, filling key positions is a very important means of developing and ensuring sustainability of the competitive edge (Thunnissen, Bosselie & Fruytier, 2013). Retaining talented employees is the priority of many organizations and it is the key differentiator of human capital management (Mohammed, 2015).

Organizations have increasingly recruited talent away from their competitors, creating retention tension (Capelli, 2015). The demand for competent employees is high especially for key decision-making workforce; therefore, organizations are exposed to a continuous competitive fight for the best and talented employees (Kibui, 2015). Research indicates that satisfied employees due to good career management practices tend to be

committed to an organization (Cooper-Hakim & Viswesvaran, 2005), and employees who are satisfied and committed are more likely to stay with the organization (Ngirande & Muzana, 2014).

Succession management practices consist of a wide range of activities which different organizations adopt such as compensation management, talent attraction, talent development, talent retention, performance management, competency management, skill development, training and development, learning and development, leadership development, succession planning, career management, workforce planning and many others (Bersin & Deloitte, 2010; Armstrong, 2010). These are methods employed by organizations to assist in the retention of their employees (Human Capital Institute, 2008). The practices adopted generally differ from industry to industry in many cases and certain practices are more suitable for certain industries over others (Ochieng, 2016).

According to Berthal (1999), succession planning practices involves having a support system with senior management and involving the line managers in recognizing potential candidate and integrating the succession management plans with the business strategies (Stadler, 2008). In another study, it was identified that succession planning practices must be implemented after recognizing the individual needs and then incorporating it (Aswathappa, 2013). Some of the most common practices identified by previous studies under succession planning include self-development, talent development, diversity management, and job rotation. It has been founded by one of the scholars that seeking for the preferred replacements comes under the procedure which needs a complex design along with an accurate strategy (Mathur & Agarwal, 2013). It has been further suggested that there must be the three-stage model for the companies in terms of categorizing their succession planning and development practices. The first phase over here is recognizing the primary roles for the succession and replacement planning and then identifies the skills and competencies needed to fulfill that role. The

second phase involves the evaluation of people under the criterion. The last stage is addressing the talent pool that will help to fill those roles and establish the employees to get into the advance stage of their career. In view of these stages, it has been asserted by other scholars that some other practice can also be adapted in attaining the succession planning that are adequate enough for the firm (Ramthun & Matkins, 2014). These practices include making the aims clear and demonstrating the needs of the present performance of the employees.

A study has suggested four main important factors of succession planning (Stadler, 2011). The first factor is addressing the probable successor. Under this process, a preferred employee is selected on the basis of skills in order to take the future post of the leader or upper management. This process involves recognizing one or multiple employees with whom the company should start its succession planning practices. The next component is the rapidly changing management style. The succession planning needs the employees to be taught and managed. The antecedent should at the first place forgo the personal management style and act like the teacher while giving the mentorship to the employees. The subsequent component is the training and development of the successor. Under this stage, the success of the potential descendant relies on attaining the proper training and development. The final factor is the act of choosing the successor. At this phase there are multiple factors to consider while choosing. Among them some of the essential factors include knowledge, skills, competencies and experience of the employee. It has been further stated that workers having the higher level of satisfaction in their jobs are usually committed with the company as they have this belief that the company has a good future for them and the company is going to take good care about the contributions done by them (Stadler, 2011). Employees that seemed to be committed with their jobs are more likely to get successful in their jobs and stay in the employment for a longer

time. This also raises their retention possibilities and their productivity. In view of this, another study added that the fruitful succession strategies initiated with the effective practice of hiring. This is due to the fact that employees that are competent enough are proven to stay longer in the firm (Singh & Gupta, 2015). It was also added that inefficient hiring practices raises the turnover rates in two main ways (Papay & Kraft, 2016). The first one is the new recruiting that is not compatible enough will leave the company instantly and the second way is that the staff could turn into becoming frustrated at a higher level as they feel that a good burden have been placed on their performance.

The four different types of employee turnover include involuntary turnover, voluntary turnover, avoidable and unavoidable turnover. In a study, it was stated that factors of retention are recognized by the outcome of labor turnover and risk assessment that must be conducted timely (Jaffar, 2019). The employee retention strategies are taking a rise as being the most important workforce organizational challenge in the contemporary world. From the early nineteenth century, the previous scholars have been demonstrating not just about the findings based on why the employees are leaving the organization, they have also highlighted that how the employees should be made engaged with the company and in what way they would stay with the company. This can be done through the well-implemented employee retention practices that as a result raise the tenure of employee more as compared to the remuneration for themselves by reducing the turnover costs and raising the productivity of employees.

Studies acknowledge that the most essential predictors of retention involve the intrinsic fulfillment and the working conditions instead of focusing on the financial rewards (Jafar, 2019; Eshiteti *et al.*, 2013). Moreover, the lack of professional growth and development often impacts the turnover rate rather than creating a balance among the life and work. The past studies based on the concept of employee retention have

explained that employees that are giving the central focus to their jobs and more towards looking at doing the good add in improving the satisfaction level of clients in the workplace (Iqbal & Hashmi, 2015). Linking to this, it has been added by other scholars that a business is needed to encourage its employees in terms of retaining them. This can be done by means of various strategies (Mendes & Standler, 2011). It has also been studied by Hong *et al* (2012) that employees in regards to giving importance to the career development, skills attainment and effective performance management are looking forward for their career progression from their company. The study found that training and development followed with performance management and compensation is very important for retaining the employees. However, it can be observed that multiple studies have explained the importance of higher participation of the workers and the way it could enhance in retaining them. While summing it up, it can be stated that the previous studies have described the concept of retention as a constant relation amongst the workers and the workplace.

Many businesses lack a talent strategy and talent management system component, hence these organisations tend to fulfil their staffing requirements on a reactionary basis but miss a comprehensive career and succession management process (Mhlongo & Harunavamwe, 2018).

The overall attitude toward TM practices differs from country to country, while findings on TM in emerging-market firms show that at different levels TM challenges in all of these countries are heavily influenced by cultural, organizational, institutional, industrial, and individual factors (Cooke *et al.*, 2014). Moreover, effective implementation of TM practices in organizations has a number of country-level consequences that can be measured by different economic development or competitiveness indicators (Morley *et al.*, 2015)

The Talent Management and Rewards Survey (2013), surveyed 1,605 employers globally, and reported that companies are having difficulty

attracting and retaining the high potential and critical-skill employees necessary to increase their global competitiveness. Almost three in four organizations reported difficulties attracting critical-skill employees, and more than half report difficulties retaining them. Almost six in 10 companies reported difficulty retaining critical-skill employees; similar proportions had difficulty retaining high-potential employees and top performers (Kimunge, 2014).

Globally, 48 per cent of employees in organisations feel that existing SM programs should be broadened, of the 48 per cent the Americas report 44 per cent Europe 46 per cent while 59 per cent of employees in Asia Pacific are of the opinion that organisations should make efforts to broaden the TMPs currently existing in the organisation (ABP, 2015). 34 per cent feel that existing TMPs should be maintained. Of this, 29 per cent accounts for the opinion of organisations in Europe, 43 per cent Americas, and 25 per cent Asia Pacific. Finally, 18 per cent of employees globally are of the opinion that organisations must seek alternative TMPs- 12 per cent from the Americas, 25 per cent from Europe and 16 per cent from Asia Pacific are in agreement that, organisations must seek alternative TMPs to guarantee success and eventual retention of critical talent in their respective organisation (ABP, 2015)

Firms like Microsoft, Southwest Airlines, and SAS Institute in the USA are exemplary in the way they nurture and manage their talent, especially talent which is considered critical to their operations (Hejase Hussin, Eid, Alain, Hamdar, Bassam, & Haddad, Ziad, 2016). They continue to say, these companies go to surprising lengths to help these employees tap into their core skills and passions. They expect continuous learning and growth and know that the most important lessons don't take place in the classroom, but on the job. They also understand that positive relationships raise the performance of critical talent to new levels (Hejase *et al.*, 2016).

In Sweden, a survey called the “Talent Management Barometer” conducted by Tidskriften Personal and Ledarskap, Sveriges Human Resource Förening and Stardust Consulting in 2012 revealed that very few (16 per cent of employees) are pleased with their present talent management, and agree that more resources need to be placed on this in the future. Over half of the respondents agreed that talent management is one of the most important issues today, while 81 per cent think it will be a growing concern in the future. At the same time, only 33 per cent believe that their organization has come to an agreement on what talent management is, and only 23 per cent have a clear definition of “talent” in their organization. This leads to the conclusion that even though companies in Sweden are aware of talent management and value it, the first steps in adopting talent management have been taken by very few companies, and furthermore, there are few companies that have a holistic point of view on talent management (Yllner, 2013)

Research on 11500 Asia Pacific employers by Manpower in 2009 suggested that it was organizations in Taiwan, Japan, Australia, and New Zealand that were having the greatest difficulty in attracting the right talent for jobs (Manpower Australia 2009). This is mainly due to the reluctance of many organisations to incorporate a formal SM strategy to their business strategy. As Rothwell (2011) cautions that; talent management at the organizational level should not stand alone, organizations in the mentioned countries have retention problems with their talent.

A study by Iles, Chuai and Preece (2010) about SM in China’s Multi-National Corporations (MNCs) reveals that the MNCs in China were interested in identifying, developing, and retaining their talented employees but they did not do so in a structured manner. This however is at the backdrop of the looming managerial talent shortage and the fierce competition that these MNCs. Cooke *et al*, (2014) and Hartman *et al.*, (2010) say that the level of concept of SM in India and China can be evaluated as medium. They say, firms in these countries show

a high level of interest in SM and even sometimes have governmental support (Cooke *et al.*, 2014) as cited by Jyoti (2014).

Russia on the contrary is not seen anywhere. In the 2013 Global Talent Competitive Index (GTCI) out of 93 countries in GTCI survey, Russia is ranked 78, China 55 and India 44 (GTCI, 2013). These rankings show the relative positions of these countries to others in terms of overall condition of TM. Although quite low, they reflect a true picture of different levels of firms’ involvement in TM.

A survey by Hejase, *et al.*, 2016) on Talent Management Challenges: an Exploratory Assessment from Lebanon indicate that majority of organizations in Lebanon have specific TM strategies in place. However, there are varying degrees as to the success of the implementation of these strategies. 67 per cent of organisations in Lebanon have TM initiatives while 33 per cent do not have. According to Hejasse *et al.*, (2016), the IT, telecom, banking sector, and NGOs were found to be the organizations that have the highest percentages of TM initiatives. 70 per cent of the organizations with TM initiatives indicate that TM is a top priority for them (Hejasse *et al.*, 2016).

Although Succession management practices are applied by companies in Kenya, they can only contribute optimally to business performance if both top management and employees are aligned on its objectives and implementation in the organization (Mwendwa, 2014). Business Review Management (2013) reports that Kenya faces the challenge of shortage of talent. These challenges include hiring, retaining, training and motivating professional talent. Moreover, shortage of talent management is felt in both professional and nonprofessional management in Kenya. In the current competitive business environment in Kenya, many companies have failed to give talent management the attention it deserves (Chemaiyo, 2016), and it is only in the recent past that companies have integrated talent management to its human resource practices and talent

management is silent and missing in many human resource departments.

In a survey carried out in 2014 in Telkom Kenya, 80 per cent of respondents indicate that TM was given higher priority due to change in organizational structure. 70 per cent of the respondents in the survey indicate that search for talent with much needed competences is a priority in the organization due to the constant pressure of competition from other telecommunication companies such as Safaricom, Airtel and Kenya Postal Corporation (Chemaiyo, 2016). The survey further indicates that the Kenyan market has evolved and organizations have begun to put considerable emphasis on talent acquisition and retention.

A TM survey at Kerio Valley Development Authority (KVDA) indicates that the organization is keen on TM strategies and is keen on retaining the available talents in the organization (KVDA Talent Management Survey, 2011) as cited in Chemaiyo (2016). However, the TM strategies did not address the lower cadre employees, who must be prepared to ascend to senior positions. The study further indicates that, retention and motivation of employees is a problem in the organization. It further reveals that the organization did not have a formal budget specifically set for training and recruitment for competitive talents from the labour market.

If human resource practitioners put more emphasis on organizations management of talents and reinforce processes and programs as well as strategies on talent management, then organizations may post improved productivity and profitability (Chemaiyo, 2016).

### **Statement of the Problem**

Currently, organizations are facing the challenge of retaining their skilled talent (Schuler, 2011; Scullion, 2010; Tarique & Schuler, 2010). According to the Kenya National Bureau of Statistics (2012), at least 20 per cent of employees leave their organisation, this severe drain of talents from organizations has

not spared mobile telecommunication sector. The high rate of employee turnover in these organizations can be attributed to the fact that many managers have dismissed talent management as a non-issue and have not put in place strategies to retain talent in their organization (Rono & Kiptum, 2017). Usually, the lack of staff retention in these organizations has had adverse effects on service delivery (Ng'ethe, Iravo & Namusonge, 2012). According to the Annual Report, Safaricom has experienced a staff turnover of approximately 6.0 per cent (Safaricom Annual Report, 2016), 20 percent Airtel (Nding'ori, 2015; Odembo, 2013) and 35 percent in Telkom Kenya (Muteti, 2013).

Several studies have been done on talent management (Ochieng, 2016; Ndung'u & Omondi, 2015; Silvia, 2014; Omondi, 2013; Wambui, 2012), many of whom have studied about how talent management affects competitive advantage (Hejase *et al.*, 2016; Chepkwony, 2012) however, there is limited research that has been done on how talent management influence employee retention in the mobile telecommunication industry in Kenya. Nonetheless, despite a significant growth in talent management literature, most studies were carried out in other sectors such as banks (Karuri, 2015; Ndung'u & Omondi, 2015; Kibui, Gachunga & Namusonge, 2014; Silvia, 2013), commercial state corporations (Mwajuma, 2015; Njeri, 2014; Kagwiria, 2013; Wambui, 2012), training institutions (Katitia, 2014), in supply chain (Ochieng, 2016) and healthcare industry (Mukweyi, 2016; Karemu, Kachori, Josee & Okibo, 2014). Therefore, there were significant gaps between talent management and employee retention scholarship in mobile telecommunication industry in Kenya, which the current study sought to address.

### **Research hypotheses**

- $H_0$  Succession planning has no significant influence on employee retention in Mobile Telecommunication Companies in Kenya

## LITERATURE REVIEW

### Succession Planning and Employee Retention

Garg and Van Weele (2012) assert that the lack of proper succession planning in an organisation can have a direct effect of causing business to collapse upon departure of key players (Maphisa *et al.*, 2017). The rise in the employee turnover rates is increasing the concerns amongst the organizations in retaining the employees in the workplace (Jaffar, 2019). The higher turnover rates are threatening the business capability in earning higher profits. Grounded by the leadership and motivational theories, the following study aims explore that how the succession planning strategies could help in retaining the employees in the organization. Staff turnover in the business environment which is varying day by day and is getting highly competitive is increasing in most of the companies in the world. This results in changing the demographics of the workers, rise in the global competition and technology that calls for the development of the talent pool to take the leadership responsibilities and pull the businesses towards the next level. There are some of the managers that feel that there is an issue of high attrition rate which seems to be threatening for the growth of the firms according to Pattanayak (2005). There are about 47% of the companies that are going through shrinking or closing up due to the absence of competent managers and as a result, there is no one there to encourage the employees and retain them (Jaffar, 2019)

Studies show that many organisations are not ready or well equipped to confront the talent crisis when it occurs as they usually lack official succession planning programmes aimed at creating “a feeder pool” of highly capable staff members who would be able to occupy management positions in the future (Eshiteti *et al.*, 2013). Even though most companies have succession planning programmes in place as per their policies, it is not clear how effective these programmes are (Gulzar & Durrani, 2014).

Statistics show that an overwhelming number of organizations do not have a meaningful succession plan (Global Leadership Solutions, 2011). Today’s organizations are faced with a multitude of complex challenges. Some of these challenges include competing in a more globalized work world, leadership breaches in ethical and moral decision-making, retaining and developing talent, keeping up with technological advances and changes, leading a more diversified structure, and in some cases financial woes. As a result of these challenges, succession planning has become a popular topic (Kelly, 2015).

Succession planning involves preparing and developing current employees for senior leadership roles within the organization (Ahmed, 2016). Succession planning has the potential to be one of the major business issues of the coming decade (Nassor, 2013). The demographic reality is that organizations will have a shrinking pool of labour from which to draw on, which will impact operations at all levels, from the receptionist to the CEO (Nassor, 2013). It is this reality that has pushed succession planning – an important issue in normal times to the forefront (Brent, 2013).

M’Cathy (2013) asserts that companies that do it right as measured by bottom-line results follow all if not most of the following ten best practices in implementation of succession planning. These practices include: commitment and involvement of the CEO and Board, regular talent reviews, identifying viable successors for key positions, taking a “pipeline” approach to development, holding the executive team accountable, aligning the succession plan with business strategy, managing the irrational, political, and emotional dynamics of succession, assessing performance of potential successors, integrating succession planning with performance management, recruitment, selection, development and rewards and making a serious commitment to development in terms of time and resources. Succession planning is not an issue that many organizations address in any systematic way (Kigo, 2016). Because many



organizations don't consider that a major priority and because they may be facing other organizational challenges, and as such thinking about who the next executive director might be or what would happen if the director of finance suddenly left is not high on their priority list. The impending retirement of the baby boomers is expected to have a major impact on workforce capacity (Lance & Dorothy, 2011). Because of this impending retirement, organisations need to consider succession planning seriously.

Pollit (2009) describes, succession planning as a tool to develop the leaders for future and to review the talent regularly for retention purposes. Topper (2008) who considers succession planning as a source to prepare the future talent that can help the organization to run its affairs smoothly also shares this view. He says that combination of various techniques can be helpful to get the right person for the right job, which helps to manage the talent of that organization.

Bernardin (2007) cites two types of succession planning namely; formal and informal succession plans where the former an individual manager identifies and grooms his or her own replacement and the latter involves an examination of strategic plans and human resource forecast, talent pool and implementation of promotion from within policy. Molina (2013) cites three succession planning best practices geared towards growth and business continuity namely: Benchmarking, considering the future and keeping it dynamic.

For an effective succession planning to take effect there is need for full support from the top management (Nassor, 2013). One of the biggest challenges of succession planning is the unwillingness of top managers to train the junior staff. This is because; those trained pose a threat to the very existence of the senior management. In addition, the general staff must support the efforts and the activities of succession planning. Staff who are unwilling to be trained to take up new and superior tasks may jeopardize the efforts and spirit of succession planning in the organization.

According to a study by Nassor (2013), some of the factors that may influence succession planning are; measuring the performance, determining the performance which is needed for the future, assessing the potential, establishing a way to narrow the gaps, following up, documenting competence, making and maintaining rewards for developing people, evaluating results and leading from the front.

Mehrabani and Mohamad (2011) studied on factors that influence the implementation of the succession planning system in Iran's private Banks, the following factors were found paramount in descending order; training, management support, clarifying the career path, creating positive vision, strong organizational culture, technology advancement, flat structure and financial conditions (Mehrabani and Mohamad, 2011).

According to Heidrick, Struggles, and Stanford University's Survey (2011) on CEO Succession Planning, over 50 per cent of Canadian and US companies have not begun a succession process for the head of their organizations. The research on 140 CEOs and directors at large and mid-cap companies found that 39 per cent of the respondents have no viable internal candidates, only 50 per cent have any written documentation detailing skills required for the next CEO, only 19 per cent have well established benchmarks to measure internal candidate skills and only 50 per cent of companies provide onboarding or transition support for newly named CEOs. Additionally, boards spend only 2 hours a year, on average, discussing CEO succession planning (Heidrick & Struggles, 2011).

CEO turnover rates have risen over the years. In the 12th Annual Global CEO Succession Study, Favaro, Karlsson and Neilson (2011) noted that in 2011, 14.2 percent of CEOs at the world's top 2,500 companies were replaced. This number was sharply higher than the previous year's turnover rate of 11.6 percent. CEO development is becoming an increasingly critical and strategic imperative for organizations in the current business environment.

Recent historical events and emerging trends emphasize the need to invest in the active development of leaders (Nassor, 2013).

Maalu (2010) researched on the succession strategy and performance of small and medium family businesses in Nairobi, Kenya. His research aimed at determining the nature of business succession strategies, the factors that influence succession and relationship between succession and firm performance among family owned SMEs in Nairobi. Mwiti (2010) looked into the succession planning process at Josra coffee company and determined the factors that influence the succession process in the firm. In his findings, Mwiti (2010) acknowledged the availability of succession plan at Josra coffee company although not formal and that predecessors are reluctant to delegate powers easily.

Nassor (2013) carried out a study on succession planning among commercial banks in Kenya. The study reveals that succession planning is not planned thus the process is not ongoing throughout. Once a CEO announces his/her exit then that is the time the process begins. Most of the respondents preferred an internal candidate to succeed a CEO over an external candidate. In the study respondents rated board of directors as most influential together with other factors such as government regulations, external completion exit of incumbent CEO, size of organization among others. The study recommends that commercial banks in Kenya take seriously the issue of succession planning so that they can make it an ongoing activity with employees being groomed and informed that they are being prepared for the job.

In a survey conducted by Booz & Co. it was noted that CEO turnover rate was highest among the top 250 companies by market capitalization, highest in the energy, telecom, and utilities sectors by 19, 18, and 16 percent, respectively and that the number of companies among the top 2,500 based in BRIC and other emerging countries has more than doubled, rising from 10 percent in 2006 to 25 percent in 2011 (Favaro *et al.*, 2011).

Avanesh (2011) measured the process of succession planning and its impact on organizational performance in Indian IT sector and made specific recommendations for improving the quality of succession planning and organizational performance. The study found that the overall mean percentage of Succession Planning Performance of IT Consultancy firms (72.4 per cent) is found to be higher than that of IT Product/Research firms (70.4 per cent). Organizational Performance of IT Consultancy firms (79.6 per cent) are higher than that of the IT Product/Research firms (76.7 per cent). The relationship between Practice of Succession Planning and Organizational Performance was found to be positive in IT Consultancy Groups and IT Product/Research Groups (Avanesh, 2011).

### **Employee Retention**

Employee retention issues are emerging as the most critical workforce management challenges of the immediate future (Rono & Kiptum, 2017). Once an organization has captured skilled employees, the return on investment requires closing the back door to prevent them from walking out (Kubaison, Mukangu & Rutiria, 2015). Employees are more likely to remain with the organization only if they believe that the organization shows more interest and concern for them, if they know what is expected of them, if they are given a role that fits their capabilities, and if they receive regular positive feedback and recognition (Samuel & Chipunza, 2013).

Employee retention and talent management practices of successful companies are fundamental element to maintain their leadership and development in the marketplace (Kurbetta & Mehta, 2014). An organization's talent management strategy should contribute to employee engagement, effective recruitment and retention of employees. This will create positive employer brand, employees will want to stay with the organization, which will minimize turnover (Julia, Hughes & Rog, 2008).

Retention of key talent; that employee who is a strong performer, have high potential or are in critical jobs is even more important during economic recoveries when organizations compete aggressively for market share and talent (Kigo, 2016). Key talent disproportionately contributes to current organization performance and to future performance since key employees often become organization leaders. Losing key talent costs considerably more since these employees' impact and contribution are greater than that of typical employees (McMullen & Mark, 2012).

Retention of talent employees is critical to preserving skills of such employees (Chikumbi, 2011). By so doing, a business can avoid losing customers so that they maintain high quality products that are marked by high sales. Employees who work together for a long time will enjoy a healthy working atmosphere, good relations with managers, effective succession planning and deeply embedded organisational knowledge and learning. Failing to retain key employees especially new graduates is costly for any business. Mendez and Stander (2011) further emphasises that a company needs to invest in employee retention in order to be successful. For example, a good compensation package is important in retaining employees, offering an attractive, competitive benefits package with components such as life insurance, disability insurance and flexible hours motivates employees to commit themselves to an organization (Ahmed, 2016).

It is important and difficult to retain talented employees under high unemployment levels. It is important to retain them because of high training and recruitment costs so organizations use rewards to retain employees (Simon North, 2011). Furthermore, Ratna and Chawla (2012) argued that retention is more important than hiring, even though hiring knowledgeable people is essential for an employer. Employee retention also indicates taking the appropriate measures to encourage people to stay in the organization as long as they can. Ratna and Chawla (2012) state that "The

process of employee retention will benefit an organization as the cost of employee turnover adds hundreds of thousands of money to a company's expense." It also means that if a company has a higher employee retention rate, it will motivate potential employees to join the company.

McNally (2011) found some ways of retaining valued employees, such as designing a compensation programme to reward valued employees, career opportunity, development and growth paths they need to develop, encouraging work-life balance and good communication. McNally stated that "Give your most valued employees the best opportunities, coaching and rewards, knowing that the key to your company's success is their retention."

Employees must be able to see a clear career path in the organization, only then they will stay for long (Bagga, 2013). Providing great career development opportunities makes the employees to stay in the company for long and at the same time enhances their loyalty to the firm. Also creating a positive social work environment and adding content to the jobs and tasks to be done by the employees enhances employee satisfaction and commitment (Meganck, 2007).

In an exploratory study to identify ways to retain talent conducted in professional organizations Govaerts *et. al.*, (2010) collected a sample of 972 employees and concluded, to retain employees it is important to pay attention to learning of employees. Learning and development of employees plays a key role in talent management strategy. In order to retain people, organizations should allow people to learn more and do more in what they are good at. This kind of talent management practices leads to satisfied employees, which lead to retention. The study further identifies a positive relationship in intention to stay with the company and age of the employees. Using different talent management practices, the company is able to retain employee for a longer period, the less will be the intention of employee to leave job (Mehta, 2014).

In order to keep attrition low, managers must provide sufficient training to employees to attain the necessary KSA to perform better, so that older employees in the organization are at the same expertise level as compared to their younger counterparts (Taplin, Winston & Winterton, 2007). Well-trained workers stay loyal to their employees (Leidner, 2013). Access to training, adjusting training practices as per older employees' requirements and an age-awareness training program is required for better older employee retention (Marjorie, Stassen & Templer, 2004). Lastly, it is important to retain employees otherwise; it leads to wastage of training costs. Employee retention is a technique adopted by businesses to maintain an effective workforce and at the same time meet operational requirements (Kurbetti & Mehta, 2014).

#### METHODOLOGY

This study adopted the pragmatism philosophy. Therefore, the study sought to use the lenses of the pragmatism philosophy to adopt a descriptive study research design. The study targeted a population of 4192 employees of Safaricom (KNBS, 2016). To get the required sample size of 384 respondents, Krejcie and Morgan (1970) formulae was used. Stratified sampling technique was used. Stratified sampling is a process in which certain subgroups or strata are selected for the sample in the same

**Table 1: Succession planning on Employee retention**

#### Model Summary

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted Square	R Std. Error of the Estimate	Change Statistics		
					R Square Change	F Change	Sig. F Change
1	.327 <sup>a</sup>	.107	.104	.79115	.107	32.544	.000

a. Predictors: (Constant), Succession Planning

The results in table 1 indicated the measure of variation of the composite predicted variable (employee retention) as explained by the predictor variable (succession planning). The regression analysis output yielded a coefficient R-value of 0.327 and R<sup>2</sup> of 0.107 that implied that only 10.7 per cent of the corresponding variation in employee

proportion, as they exist in the population (Kothari & Garg, 2014; Fraenkel & Wallen, 2006).

#### FINDINGS

##### Succession Planning and Employee Retention

The findings from the matrix correlation table indicated that the influence of succession planning on employee retention was positive and significant.

##### Regression Analysis

This study carried out a regression analysis by fitting the linear regression models for the data. Regression analysis was conducted for each of the predictor variables on and employee retention. This was purposely done to investigate the level of influence that the predictor variables had on the dependent variable. It helped the study to establish the nature of the relationship between Talent management practices variables and employee retention variable. The following results were obtained

##### Regression of Succession Planning and Employee Retention

To test the amount of variation of the independent variable (succession planning) on the dependent variable (employee retention) and its constructs, a regression analysis was conducted. In relation to results from the table, it was found that succession planning has a positive and significant influence on employee retention ( $r=0.515^{**}$ ,  $p<0.01$ ).

retention can be predicted by succession planning. Nonetheless, the F test statistic gave a value of  $F=32.544$ ,  $P<0.001$ , which was sufficient to support the goodness of fit of the model in explaining the variance in the dependent variable (employee retention) by the independent variable (succession planning). Despite the low influence of succession

planning as a predictor variable, the finding tended to validate the fact that succession planning is a useful predictor of employee retention. This meant that employees who experience higher levels of

succession planning will tend to have higher levels of employee retention. The coefficients of the fitted model using the “unstandardized coefficients” were given as shown in the table 2;

**Table 2: Coefficients of Succession Planning**

Coefficients <sup>a</sup>		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
Model		B	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(Constant)	1.928	.378		5.098	.000
	Succession Planning	.540	.095	.327	5.705	.000

a. Dependent Variable: Employee Retention

Table 2 showed the unstandardized regression coefficients  $\beta$  value of the computed (composite index) scores of succession planning to be 0.540 with a t-test of 5.705 at a significance level of  $p < 0.01$ . Since the t-value was greater than +1.96, the regression model obtained was significant and feasible. Further, with a  $p < 0.01$ , it implied that for every 1 per cent increase in succession planning, there was a predicted zero percentage increase in employee retention. Having achieved the objective, the study rejected the null hypothesis that;

*H<sub>0</sub>: Succession planning has no significant influence on employee retention in the Mobile Telecommunication companies in Kenya.*

### **CONCLUSION, RECOMMENDATIONS AND SUGGESTION FOR FUTURE RESEARCH**

The findings of the study predicted that succession planning had a positive and significant influence on employee retention in the mobile telecommunications sector in Kenya. Accordingly, employees who are aware that the organization has a succession-planning program tend to work more for the organization longer in the hope that they are likely to ascend to management positions. Further, it was also found that many employees were aware that the presence of a succession planning strategy did not guarantee promotion and that it had little influence on their stay. From the study, it was also evident that many employees felt that it was necessary for the organization to identify potential successors through talent identification in

the various departments. It was also established that most succession plans did not put into consideration all the positions; however, the key positions were limited and only featured key talents in the various departments. Therefore, since it was established that succession planning had a positive and significant influence on employee retention in Kenya, the hypothesis set was rejected.

These findings added to the already existing empirical and conceptual evidence that Talent management practices have an influence on employee retention. They filled the specific knowledge gap that the relationship between talent management practices and employee retention can be supported by the organization to ensure that skilled and talented employees are kept longer in organizations. However, the factors used to measure talent management practices – succession planning, leadership development, skill development - may not be exhaustive. A further review of Succession management practices may identify additional variables and other possible moderators or intervening variables which may broaden the range of influence between these practices and employee retention. Nevertheless, to boost employee retention, it was recommended that organizations should provide their employees with appropriate training on issues of talent management. Similarly, it was also recommended that all employees be allowed to exploit their talent skills, and that they should be given greater autonomy in the performance of their work.

A study by Wambui (2012) indicates that there is a strong relationship between career management and HR outcomes such as employee retention and performance. However, this relationship has not been tested exhaustively in the context of mobile telecommunication sector. Therefore, the replication of this study in other sectors as well as countries, especially in the sub Saharan Africa, could demonstrate the universality and significance of talent management practices and how it relates to employee retention in general.

Similarly, future research in this area should adopt a different research design such as a longitudinal one, to provide a better assessment of the variables and how they improve over time. A longitudinal testing of talent management practices is also critical in

terms of establishing a causal linkage between the variables instead of a relationship testing as shown in the descriptive survey. Also, future research should consider combining multiple internal informants with the views of other informants such as customers, together with other stakeholders in the mobile telecommunication industry, in order to generate dependable conclusions of the study variables. Finally, this research work relied on a case study for data collection. Future studies should collect data using multiple methods to avoid common-method bias. Equally, the sample was drawn from mobile telecommunication sector and thus, the conclusions may not be generalized to other industries. In light of this, future studies may be conducted across industries to cover the different organizations and sectoral settings.

## REFERENCES

- Abbas, M., Raja, U., Darr W., & Bouckenoghe, D. (2014). Combined Effects of Perceived Politics and Psychological Capital on Job Satisfaction, Turnover Intentions and Performance. *Journal of Management*, 40 (7), 1813-1830.
- Aberdeen Group Inc. /Human Capital Institute. (2005). Retaining Talent: Retention and succession in the Corporate Workforce. Boston: Author.
- Abok, A. M., (2015). Factors Affecting Integrated Talent Management in State Corporations in Kenya; A Case of Kenya Power and Lighting Company; *Strategic Journal of Business and Change Management* 2 (1) 1-16
- Abraham R. (2011). Essentials of Talent and Competency Management. Certified Talent and Competency Professional Programme. ARTIDO International-ITD
- Ahmad, N., Tariq, M. S., Hussain, A. (2015). Human Resource Practices and Employee Retention, Evidences from Banking Sector of Pakistan; *Journal of Business and Management Research*. 6 186-188
- AMI (2016). Training Talent; best Practices in Workplace Learning and Management Development in Africa; Nairobi
- Andrews, A. (2011), Why Competency-based Talent Management? Lexonis Limited
- Anita, S; Sanjeev, R (2017). Talent Management for Developing Leadership: An Empirical Investigation; *Independent Journal of Management & Production*, 8, (3) 1130-1146
- Armstrong, M. (2009). Armstrong's handbook of Human Resources Management. Kogan Page, UK.
- Armstrong, M. (2012). *A Handbook of Human Resource Management Practice*, (12th Ed.), London, Kogan Page Publishers.
- Ary, D., Jacobs, C. & Razavich, A. (2010, 2002). *Introduction to Research in Education*, Belmont, CA; Wadsworth/Thomson Learning

- Barney, J. (1991). Firms Resources and Sustainable Competitive Advantage. *Journal of Management* 17(1), 99-120.
- Bersin, J., Harris, S., Lamourex, M., & Mallon, D. (2010). The Talent Management Framework; A Modern Approach for Mobilizing Talent. <http://www.bersin.com/library>
- Bersin and Associates (2013). *Global Forces Drives New Models for Talent*. Bersin by Deloitte. Retrieved from <http://www.ihrc.ch/wp-content/uploads/2013/03/predictions-2013-final-1.pdf>.
- Blau, P.M. (1964) *Exchange and Power in Social Life*. New York: John Wiley.
- Boudreau, J.W & Ramstad P.M. (2007)..*Beyond Human Resource: The New Science of*
- Boxall P. (1996). The strategic HRM debate and the resource-based view of the firm, *Human Resource Management Journal*, 6(3)
- Boxall P. (1999). Human Resource Strategy and Competitive Advantage: A Longitudinal Study of Engineering Consultancies, *Journal of Management Studies*, 36 (4)
- Business Management Review, (2013). *Challenges Facing Management in Kenya*. Retrieved May 2017 from <http://businessreviewkenya.com/challenges-facingmanagement-in-kenya/>.
- Chartered Institute of Personnel and Development (2012). *Learning and Talent Development*, CIPD Annual survey. The Broadway London
- Chemaiyo, A. K., (2016). Talent Management and Its importance in Today's Organization in Kenya Perspective; A critical review; *International Journal of Advances in Management and Economics*
- Chelimo, L (2014). Employee Perceptions of the Effectiveness of Career Management Practices at Kenya Airports Authority; University of Nairobi
- Chikumbi, C.L. (2011). *An Investigation of Talent Management and Staff Retention at the Bank of Zambia*. Masters in Business Administration. Nelson Mandela Metropolitan University Business School.
- Chou, S. Y. (2012). Millennials in the Workplace: A conceptual analysis of Millennials' Leadership and Followership Styles. *International Journal of Human Resource Studies*, 2(2), 71-83.
- Cooper, J. & Schindler, P.S. (2005). *Business Research Methods*. (8<sup>th</sup> Ed.). McGraw-Hill, New Delhi, India
- Cooper, J. & Schindler, P.S. (2011). *Business Research Methods*. (9<sup>th</sup> Ed.). McGraw-Hill, New Delhi, India
- Corporate Leadership Council (2005). *The Advantages and Disadvantages of Competency Models*, Washington: Corporate Executive Board
- Creswell, J. W. (2013). *Research design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches*. Sage Publications, Incorporated.
- Deloitte (2010). *Talent Edge 2020: Blueprints for the New Normal*. Deloitte Development LLC
- Deloitte (2012). *Staff Retention Requires Alignment of Needs and Strategy*: HRM Reward
- Deloitte (2014). *Global Human Capital Trends 2014: Engaging the 21st-century Workforce*. Retrieved March 12, 2017 from <http://www.deloitte.com>
- Deloitte. (2009). *Talent Management and Succession Planning at the Executive Level*. Deloitte
- Dessler G. (2011). *Human Resource Management*; 12<sup>th</sup> Edition. Pearson Education Inc

- Du Plessis, L. (2010). The Relationship between Perceived Talent Management Practices, Perceived Organisational Support (POS), Perceived Supervisor Support (PSS) and Intention to Quit amongst Generation Y Employees in the Recruitment Sector. (Thesis), University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa.
- Ernst & Young (2010). *Managing Today's Global Workforce: Evaluating Talent Management to Improve Business*; Ernst and Young, London.
- Eshiteti, N. S., Okaka, O., Maragi, N. S., Odera, O., Akerele, E. K. (2013). Effects of Succession Planning Programs on Staff Retention; *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences*. 4(6) 157-162
- Fitz-enz, J., Davison, B. (2002). *How to Measure Human Resources Management*. (3<sup>rd</sup> Ed) McGraw –Hill, New York.
- Fraenkel R. & Wallen, N.E. & Hyun, (2012). *How to Design and Evaluate Research in Education*: McGraw-Hill Publishing Company, New York.
- Fraenkel R. & Wallen, N.E. (2006). *How to Design and Evaluate Research in Education*. McGraw-Hill Publishing Company, New York.
- Gallardo-Gallardo, E., Dries, N. & González-Cruz, T. F. (2013). What is the Meaning of Talent in the World of Work? *Human Resource Management Review* 23: 290–300.
- Garavan, T.N., Carbery, R. and Rock, A. (2012). Mapping Talent Development: Definition,
- Gomez-Mejia, L. R., Balkin, D. B. & Robert, L. C. (2006). *Managing Human Resources* (4<sup>th</sup> Ed): Prentice Hall, USA.
- Gupta, K. A., & Govindarajan, V. (2000). Managing Global Expansion: A Conceptual Framework. *Business Horizons*, March-April, 45-54
- Guthridge, M., Komm, A.B.& Lawson, E. (2008). 'Making talent a strategic priority', *The McKinsey Quarterly* 1: 49-58.
- Hartman, E., Faisal E. & Schober H., (2010). Talent Management of Western MNCs in China ;Balancing Global Integration and Local Responsive. *Journal of world business* 45(2)169-178.
- Heidrick & Struggles (2012). *Strategic Talent Management: The Emergence of a New Discipline*. Heidrick & Struggles Inc
- Hejase, Hussin, Eid, Alain, Hamdar, Bassam, & Haddad, Ziad (2012a). Talent Management: An Assessment of Lebanese Employees' Knowledge. *Universal Journal of Management and Social Sciences*, 2(9), 21-38.
- Human Capital Institute (2008). The State of Talent Management: Today's Challenges, Tomorrow's Opportunities. Hewitt's Human Capital Consulting
- Iles, P., Chuai, X. & Preece, D. (2010). *Talent Management and HRM in Multinational*; Irwin/McGraw Hill, New York.
- Istafahani M & Bustani A (2014). *The Effects of Talent Management on Retention of Staff of the University of Isfaha in Iran*; University of Isfaha
- Jain, V. K. (2013). Competency Mapping in indian industries: A case study. *International journal of emerging Research in management and Technology*, 2(10).



- Johansson, R. K. & Adams, A.V. (2012). *Skills development in sub-Saharan Africa*. World Regional and Sectoral Studies. The World Bank.
- Jyoti, J. and Rani, R. (2014). Exploring Talent Management Practices: Antecedents and Consequences, *Int. J. Management Concepts and Philosophy*, 8 (4) 220–248.
- Kagwiria, L. R., (2013). Role of Talent Management on Organization Performance in Companies Listed in Nairobi Security Exchange in Kenya: Literature Review. *International Journal of Humanities and Social Science* 3(21), 285-290.
- Kamau, A. W.; Gakure, R.W. and Waititu, A. G. (2013). Influence of Institutional Leadership on Talent Management in Public Universities in Kenya. *Prime Journal of Social Science* 2(8) 430-437
- Kamau, G.K., Kachori, D., Josee, M. V. & Okibo, W. (2014). Critical Analysis of Talent Management Strategies on Medical Employees retention in Public Hospitals in Kenya; A case of Kenyatta National Hospital; *European Journal of Business and Management Vol 6 (23)*
- Kamunde, E. (2013). Focus on Key People: Talent Development is driven from the Perspective of People's Performance and Potential, HR Management. *Official Journal of the Institute of Human Resource Management*, 9 (38) 14-17.
- Karemu, G. K., Kachorii , D., & Josee, V. M. (2015). Critical Analysis of Talent Management on Medical Staff Retention: A case of Kenyatta National Hospital in Kenya. *European Journal of Business and Management*, 6 (23).
- Kassim, A., (2015). Uptake of Mobile Number Portability in Kenya; (Unpublished MBA Thesis) University of Nairobi
- Kataike, C. (2013). *The Relationship between Talent Management and Employee Retention in the Banking Industry*, Unpublished, University of Nairobi.
- Kehinde, J. S. (2012). Talent Management: Effect on Organisational Performance, *Journal of Management Research* 4(2) 194-899
- Kinyanjui,P, (2010). Staff Turnover in Organizations. *Journal of Management*. 33
- Kothari, C. R., & Garg G. (2014). *Research Methodology: Methods and Techniques*. (3<sup>rd</sup> Ed); New Age International Publishers, New Delhi, India
- Königová, M., Urbancová, H., & Fejfar, J. (2012). Identification of Competencies in Knowledge-Based Organizations. *Journal of Competitiveness*, 4 (1), 129 – 142
- Krejcie, R. & Morgan, D. (1970). *Determining the Sample Size for Research Activities*. Educational Psychology Measures
- Kumar, V.J. (2013). Competency Mapping: A Gap Analysis. *International Journal of Education and Research World Business*, 1(4)
- Kwenin, D.O. (2013). Relationship Between Work Environment, Career Development Opportunities and Employee Retention in Vodafone Ghana limited. *Global Journal of Human Resource Management*, 1(4), 1-9.
- Lalitha, C. (2012). Managing employee attrition-The HR role and challenge. *International Journal of Research in Management, Economics and Commerce*, 2(2), 266.

- Latukha, M. (2014). Talent Management in Russian Companies: Domestic Challenges and International Experience. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*.
- Linhartová, L. (2012). Variability of Employees' Behaviour during Disaffection. *Economic Journal*, 60 (1), 83-97.
- Linhartová, L., & Urbancová, H. (2012). Results of Analysis of Employee Mobility: Factors Affecting Knowledge Continuity. *Acta Universitatis Agriculturae et Silviculturae Mendelianae Brunensis*, 1(4), 235-244.
- Loew, L (2016). *Competency Management: Challenges and Benefits; Brandon Hall Group, Human Capital Management*
- Luo, Y. D., & Tung, R. L. (2007). International Expansion of Emerging Market Enterprises: A Springboard Perspective. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 38 481–498.
- Lyria, R. K. (2013). Role of Talent Management on Organization Performance in Companies Listed in Nairobi Security Exchange in Kenya: Jomo Kenyatta University of Science and Technology. *International Journal of Humanities and Social Science*3 (21)285 – 290
- Lyria, R., Namusonge, G., &Karanja, K. (2014). Effect of Career Management to the Organizational Performance in Companies Listed in Nairobi Security Exchange in Kenya. *International Journal of Science and Research*, 3(7), 1916-1921.
- Maina, A, R (2011). Factors Affecting Career Management among Non-Academic Staff in Public Universities in Kenya: A Case Study of Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture And Technology; Masters Thesis
- Mangusho, Y. S., Murei, K. R., Nelima, E. (2015). Evaluation of Talent Management on Employee Performance in Beverage Industry; A case of Delmonte Kenya Limited; *International Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences*. 5 (8) 191-199
- Maphisa, S. M., Zwane, B. K., & Nyide, C. J. (2017). Succession Planning and Staff Retention Challenges: An Industrial Outlook and Major Risks. *Journal of Risk governance & control: financial markets & institutions*, 7(3), 17-26.
- Mbugua, G. M., Waiganjo, W. I., & Njeri, A., (2015). Relationship between Strategic Recruitment and Employee Retention in Commercial Banks in Kenya; *International Journal of Business Administration*. 1
- McDonnell, A. and Collings, D.G. (2011). The identification and Evaluation of Talent in MNEs, in Scullion, H., and Collings, D.G. (Eds.) *Global Talent Management*. London: Routledge. 1 (4) 56-73.
- McDonnell, A., Lamare, R., Gunnigle, P. & Lavelle, J. (2010). Developing Tomorrow's Leaders—Evidence of Global Talent Management in Multinational Enterprises, *Journal of World Business* 4(5) 150–160.
- Meyers, M.C., van Woerkom, M. & Dries, N. (2013). Talent - Innate or acquired? Theoretical Considerations and their Implications for Talent Management, *Human Resource Management Review* 23 (4): 305-32.
- Mugenda, O. M., & Mugenda, A. (2003). *Research Methods: Quantitative and Qualitative Approaches*, African Centre of Technology Studies, Nairobi.

- Mukanzi, C. M., Gachunga, H., Ngungi, K., & Kihoro, J.M. (2014). Leadership and Worklife Balance: Perceived Managerial Support as A Moderator between Burnout, Stress, Absenteeism and Employee Commitment. *Journal of Leadership Management*, 1(2014), 85-92
- Mutunga, F. and Gachunga, H. (2013). Factors affecting Succession Planning in Small and Medium Enterprises in Kenya. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Science*, 3(8), 285-300.
- Nair, P. J. (2012). Is talent Management Accentuated by Competency Mapping? With Special Reference to Educational Sector. *International Journal of Social Science* 1(11).
- Nassir M. (2016). Determinants of Employee Retention; An Evidence from Paskistan; *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*. 6 (9)
- Ndung'u D. K. (2015). Relationship between Talent Management Practices and Employee Turover; A case study of the Co-Operative bank of Kenya; *Strategic Journal of Business and change Management* 2 115
- Ngechu, M. (2010). *Understanding the Research Process and Methods: An Introduction*. (1<sup>st</sup> Ed.). UoN, Nairobi.
- Ng'ethe, J. M., Iravo M. E., Namusonge, G. S., (2012). Determinants of Academic Staff Retention in Public Universities in Kenya: Empirical Review; *International Journal of Humanities and Social Science*, 2(1) 205-212
- Ngatia, P. (2010). Employees' Retention Problems. *Journal of Management*. 1 (2) 22
- Njeria, K. J. (2013). *Challenges Affecting Implementation of Talent Management in State Corporations*. Case of Kenya Broadcasting Corporation, Kenyatta University.
- Njoroge, N. (2012). Talent management practices in commercial State Corporations in Kenya, Unpublished thesis, Nairobi University
- Nyanjom, C. R. (2013). *Factors influencing employee retention in the state corporations in Kenya*. Unpublished Thesis Nairobi: University of Nairobi.
- Odhiambo, J. O, Njanja, L & Zakayo, C (2014). Effects of Succession Planning Practices on Organization Performance among the Non-Governmental Organizations in Kenya. *European Journal of Business Management*, 2(1), 141-154.
- Oladapo E. (2014). *The Effects of Talent Management on Retention; USA*
- Ondimu, S. N. (2013). Managers' Perception Of The Influence Of Employee Career Development Programmes On Employee Commitment At Oxfam International, Kenya; Unpublished MBA Dissertation. Kenya: University of Nairobi.
- Petrie, N. (2011). *Future Trends in Leadership Development*. Retrieved from <http://www.ccl.org> on March 1, 2017.
- Piansoongnern, O., Anurit, P., & Kulya, W, S. (2011). Talent Management in Thai Cement Companies: A Study of Strategies and Factors Influencing Employee Engagement. *African Journal of Business Management*, 5(5), 1578-1583.
- Preece.H. (2006). *Human Resource Management* (12<sup>th</sup> Ed). Mason Thompson South Western, USA.

- Remenyi, D., Williams, B., Money, A., & Swartz, E. (1998). *Doing Research in Business and Management: An Introduction to Process and Methods*. Sage Publications, London
- Rees G (2008). *Contemporary Human Resource Management*. Essex: Pearson Education Ltd.
- Ritva, L., & Viitala, R. (2007). *Competence Management and Human Resource Development*; University of Applied Sciences, Helsinki
- Rothwell, W. J. (2012). Talent Management: Aligning Your Organisation with Best Practices in Strategic and Tactical Talent Management. *Journal of Training & Development*. 39(1), 6-7.
- Saunders, M., Lewis, P. & Thornhill, A. (2014). *Research Methods for Business Students* (4<sup>th</sup> Ed.). Harlow, Pearson
- Sekaran, U. (2003). *Research Methods for Business. A Skill Building Approach* (4<sup>th</sup> Ed.). John Willy & Sons Inc, New York, USA.
- Schweyer, A. (2004). *Talent Management Systems. Best Practices in Technology Solutions for Recruitment, Retention and Workforce Planning*. New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Society for Human Resource Management – SHRM (2015). *Talent Management Driver for Organizational Success*. Retrieved October 2017 from <http://www.shrm.org/research/articles/articles/pages/abstracttalentpercent20managementdrivepercent20forpercent20organizationalpercent20successrq.aspx>
- Sonnenberg, M., van Zijderveld, V., & Brinks, M. (2014). The role of talent-perception Incongruence in Effective Talent Management. *Journal of World Business*, 49 (2), 272-280
- Stahl, G., Björkman, I., Farndale, E., Morris, S. S., Paauwe, J., & Stiles, P. (2012). Six Principles of Effective Global TM. *MIT Sloan Management Review*, 53(2) 25-32.
- Tabachnick, G., & Fidell, S. (2001). *Using Multivariate Statistics* (4<sup>th</sup> Ed.). Boston, MA: Allyn & Bacon
- Tetteh, J (2015). *Succession Planning, Employee Retention and Organisational Effectiveness among Some Selected Organisations in Ghana*. Unpublished MBA Dissertation. University of Ghana, Ghana.
- Thiriku, M. & Were, S. (2016). Effect of Talent Management Strategies on Employee Retention among Private Firms in Kenya: A Case of Data Centre Ltd – Kenya. *International Academic Journal of Human Resource and Business Administration*, 2 (2), 145-157
- Thiriku, J. N. (2013). *Perceived factors affecting employee retention in Safaricom Limited*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Nairobi.
- Thunnissen, M., Boselie, P. & Fruytier, B. (2013). Talent management and the relevance of context: Towards a pluralistic approach, *Human Resource Management Review* 23: 326–336.
- Tiwari, U., & Shrivastava, D (2013). Strategies and Practices of Talent Management and Their Impact on Employee Retention and Effectiveness, *The International Journal of Management*, 2(4).
- Tunje, G.S. (2014). *Relationship between Succession Planning Practices and Employee Retention in Large Media Houses in Kenya*. Unpublished MBA Dissertation. Kenya: University of Nairobi.
- Tymon, G.W., Stumpf, S.A & Doh, J.P. (2010). Exploring Talent Management in India:
- Urbancova, H. (2012). The Process of Knowledge Continuity Ensuring. *Journal of Competitiveness*, 4(2), 38–48

- Vaiman V. & Vance C.M. (2008). *Smart talent management: building knowledge assets for competitive advantage*. Edward Elgar Publishing Ltd.
- Vanka, S., Anitha P. (2013). Competency Mapping as a Tool of Talent Management: A Study in Indian IT organizations, *Journal of Economic Development, Management, IT, Finance and Marketing* 5(1) 44-56
- Vicere, A. A. (2002). Leadership and the network economy. *Human Resource Planning*, 25(2), 26–33
- Vnoučková L, Urbancová H, Smolová H. (2016). Identification and Development of Key Talents through Competency Modelling in Agriculture Companies. *Acta Universitatis Agriculturae et Silviculturae Mendelianae Brunensis*, 64(4): 1409–1419.
- Waham, B (2017). The Role of Leadership Development on Employee Retention;
- Waleed H, Amir R, Rida Q, Rida J, & Sidra S. (2013). The Effect of Training on Employee Retention. *Global Journal of Management and Business Research Administration and Management* 13(6)
- Wambui, N (2012). *Talent Management Practices in Commercial State Corporations in Kenya*. (Unpublished Master Thesis, university of Nairobi).
- Wanjugu, C. N. (2016). Influence of Talent Management Practices on Performance of State Corporations in Kenya; *Journal of Applied Management Science*. 2 (4) 46-89
- Weerasinghe, G. (2017). Impact of Talent Management on Employee Retention ; A study carried out on Travel Agency in Sri Lanka; *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and development*. 4 (4) 54-58
- Xue, Y. (2014). Talent Management Practices of Selected Human Resource Professionals in Middle to Large-sized Multinational Corporations in China (Unpublished Dissertation) Pennsylvania State University
- Yin, R. (2009). *Case Study Research: Design and Methods*. (4<sup>th</sup> Ed.). Sage Publications, Los Angeles, CA
- Yllner, E & Brunila, A (2013). Talent management – Retaining and managing technical specialists in a technical career. (Master of Science Thesis) KTH Industrial Engineering and Industrial Management, Stockholm.
- Zikmund, W. (2003). *Business Research Methods*. South-Western Publishers, USA